The following is in response to Michael Edesess’ article, How Much Should We Pay to Emit Carbon?, which appeared last week:

Dear Editor,

The answer is zero.

Anthropogenic global warming as a serious threat to the economic well-being of humans is an extremely well-funded, zealously embraced hoax that amply fills the fervently guarded coffers of those whose interests are measured in the tens of billions.

Those utilities and other businesses are more threatened by the prospect of a carbon tax than they are by a naturally occurring gradual rise in global temperature. But since the former threat is all too real, they have no choice but to “join them if they can’t beat them” in their mid- and long-term projections.

It would’ve been nice to read an article about the economic disaster awaiting countries who embrace such tyranny. Instead, we get a lecture as to how much tyranny we should swallow.

Doug Shaner